

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/815,967	03/23/2001		Garikapati D. Kiran Babu	316198004US	6484
25096	7590	08/17/2004		EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP				BHAT, NINA NMN	
PATENT-SE	Α				
P.O. BOX 1247				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			1764		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
000 4-40 0	09/815,967	KIRAN BABU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. Bhat	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ne 2001</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2001 is/are: a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		` '
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 09/815,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

- Claims 1-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant has 1. used in Claim 1 "such as" language and in claims 5 and 7 "preferably". A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 5 recites the broad recitation of a holding capacity, and the claim also recites 1/5th the holding capacity which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Appropriate correction is required. Applicant may prefer to draft a dependent claim to obviate the range within a range problem. Applicant is reminded this is a suggestion and not a rejection.
- 2. Also applicant is note and correct all discrepancies in the spelling of "distil" to -- distill-- in the specification and in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,967

Art Unit: 1764

4.

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, applicant recites "receiver-cum-separator" which is indefinite applicant should recite clearly that the separator column or receiver-separator column. Applicant is requested to delete "cum" which is narrative and not required when drafting claims. In claim 5, 7, and 9 applicant has used improper Markush language. Proper Markush language is "selected from A, B, C or D" in the alternative it can be drafted as "selected from the group consisting of A, B, C and D". Applicant is suggested to use this language in drafting claims 5, 7 and 9. For example in claim 9, applicant could recite "... wherein the vessel, cohobation column, condenser and recycling pipes are made from metals selected from the group consisting of stainless steel, cooper and tin."

Claim 5, is vague, indefinite and does not read properly. It is not clear to the examiner what is meant by "very less to distil in a given capacity of distillation unit preferably at least one fifth of the holding capacity..." Suitable explanation and correction is required.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The invention relates to a portable mini-distillation apparatus for the production of essential oils and hydrosols which comprises on or more vessel(s) capable of being heated either internally/externally or both, the inside bottom of the vessel being provided with a threaded rod fixed concentrically to allow vertical

movement of a sieved false bottom, one or more steam purger(s) being provided

Application/Control Number: 09/815,967

Art Unit: 1764

between the vessel bottom and the moveable false bottom, the vessel being provided with lid(s) having one or more safety valve(s) the lids(s)being provided with parameter gauges include a pressure vacuum, temperature gauges and a vapor gauges, a vapor outlet (9) is connected to the inlet of one or more vertical cohobation columns(s), the outlets(s)of the cohobation column(s) being fixed to the inlet of a condenser provided with closeable vent, the outlet of the condenser being detachably connected by one or more connecting means to a vertical receiver-separator column having a valve at its lower end which controls an outlet; the bottom and middle of the receiver-separator column being provided with a plurality of valves which control the flow of fluids in one or more recycling means connected to the upper portion of the cohobation column(s) the minidistillation apparatus for producing essential oils and hydrosols has not been taught either singularly or in combination by the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mane et al. teach the preparation of cis-isoambrettoilides and use as a fragrance. Kitamura et al. teach a solvent distillation apparatus for preparing highly pure anoxic dehydrated solvent. Kimel teach a distillation/reflux apparatus for refluxing and distilling small quantities of materials without the losses attendant to large

Art Unit: 1764

apparatus. Jain et al. teach a process for the simultaneous product of artemisnin and essential oil from the artemisia plant. Quinn teaches an apparatus for performing a plurality of distillation and reflux simultaneously with in a compact/portable space.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-1397. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1764